CC: INTL-531-91 Br2: JKSams

AUG 3 0 1991

District Counsel, Cincinnati CC:C:CIN Attn: James Kagy

Chief, Branch 2, Office of Associate Chief Counsel (International) CC:INTL:Br2

Consequences of Contingent Right Under Section 957

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS PRIVILEGED INFORMATION UNDER SECTION 6103 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE DISCLOSED TO ANYONE OUTSIDE THE IRS, INCLUDING THE TAXPAYER INVOLVED, AND ITS USE WITHIN THE IRS SHOULD BE LIMITED TO THOSE WITH A NEED TO REVIEW THE DOCUMENT FOR USE IN THEIR OWN CASES.

A percent U.S. shareholder of a foreign corporation has a contingent right to acquire stock of the foreign corporation held by the foreign corporation's other percent foreign shareholder. We have been asked whether this right is an option or adds value to the U.S. shareholder's stock, so as to characterize the foreign corporation as a controlled foreign corporation within the meaning of section 957 of the Code.

You have presented the facts as follows.	, а
domestic corporation, owns percent of the	
, which in turn owns percent	of the stock of
, a Spanish cor	
remaining percent of the stock of	is owned by a
Spanish holding company unrelated to	
is wholly owned or controlled by a	Spanish citizen,
	- ·

Stated generally, an agreement between and (the original of which could not produce, claiming its failure was the result of turnover in its tax department and relocation of its international offices), provided that the bylaws of were to be amended to provide that if interest in the falls below percent, then is obligated to offer to between and percent of the shares of this contingency arises, the amount of shares offered to will be determined by the the price at which such shares will be offered is described in the agreement.

You have asked whether to sentingent right to sequire

the stock held by is an option within the meaning of section 318(a)(4) of the Code, or whether the contingent right adds value to the stock held by seeks to establish that is a CFC of ...

The foreign tax credit limitation described in section 904 of the Code is computed separately for the categories of income described in section 904(d)(1). Section 904(d)(1)(E) provides a separate limitation category for dividends received from each noncontrolled section 902 corporation. A noncontrolled section 902 corporation is defined under section 904(d)(2)(E) as a foreign corporation that is not a controlled foreign corporation within the meaning of section 957, with respect to which the shareholder satisfies the stock ownership requirements of section 902.

Pursuant to section 904(d)(3) of the Code, however, income of a CFC included in the gross income of its U.S. shareholders under section 951(a)(1)(A) is treated as income in the separate limitation categories of section 904(d)(1) to which such income is attributable. Because s income from would be included in the general limitation basket of section 904(d)(1)(I) if scharacterized as a CFC, resulting in a higher foreign tax credit limitation for seeks to establish that seeks to

Pursuant to subpart F of the Code, a U.S. shareholder of a CFC is required to include currently in its gross income its share of certain items of income of the CFC. Because there is no dispute in this case that which owns percent of the stock of its a U.S. shareholder of within the meaning of subpart F, the sole issue is whether is a CFC. A CFC is defined under section 957(a) as any foreign corporation more than 50 percent of the voting power or value of whose stock is owned by U.S. shareholders. The attribution rules of section 958, which incorporate with certain modifications the rules of section 318, are applied to determine ownership of the stock of the foreign corporation.

Two issues are presented in this case in determining whether is a CFC of the first, whether is an "option" within the meaning of section 318(a)(4) of the Code; and second, whether the contingent right adds value to the stock of the corporation held by the first is an option, then is treated as owning the shares of stock subject to the option. See sections 958(b) and 318(a)(4). Would be characterized as a CFC of the because would be treated as owning more than the percent of the stock of the stock. Similarly, would be characterized as a CFC of the stock of the stock. Similarly, would be characterized as a CFC of the stock of the stock. Similarly, would be characterized as a CFC of the stock of the stock.

Rev. Rul. 68-601, 1968-2 C.B. 124, holds that to qualify as an option, the interest holder must have the right to obtain the stock at his election. If the holder's right is contingent rather than absolute, the right is not an option for purposes of section 318 of the Code. Rev. Rul. 68-601 is clarified by Rev. Rul. 89-64, 1989-1 C.B. 91, which holds that a right that is exercisable only after a period of time has elapsed is an option within the meaning of section 318(a)(4). Under the facts of the revenue ruling, a shareholder of a corporation received cash and a right to acquire 15 shares of stock in the corporation (exercisable only after a fixed period of time had elapsed), in redemption of 15 of his 30 shares of stock. The ruling concluded that the interest must be characterized as an option. Therefore, because the shareholder was treated under section 318(a)(4) as owning the shares of stock subject to such option, the redemption was not a substantially disproportionate redemption of stock within the meaning of section 302(b).

The facts of Rev. Rul. 89-64 may be distinguished from those of this case. The passage of time, quite obviously, is not a contingency. Moreover, there does not appear to be any risk that the interest holder will be unable to acquire the stock that is the subject of the option. In this case, however, may not exercise its right of first refusal unless the shareholders of is clearly a contingency. In addition, dispose of its interest in prior to the occurrence of the contingency described in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_'s contract with \_\_\_\_\_, without first offering any shares to Thus, even if the contingency occurs, there is no guarantee that shares will be available for purchase by if it were to exercise its right of first refusal. On this basis, we may conclude that the interest at issue in this case is not an option within the meaning of section 318(a)(4) of the Code. See LTR 8038048 (attached) (for purposes of sections 1249 and 958, a right of first refusal is not an option because the interest holder is not able to exercise such right at its election).

Moreover, there is no support for the argument that the interest held by adds value to its shares for purposes of section 957(a) of the Code. Section 1222(a)(1) of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 amended section 957(a) to provide that CFC status would be determined with reference to vote and value. Under

prior law, only voting power was considered.¹ Congress was concerned about avoidance of the provisions of subpart F by shareholders holding 50 percent or less of the voting power of a foreign corporation but greater than 50 percent of its value in the form of shares of nonvoting stock. See S. Rep. No. 99-313, 99th Cong., 2d Sess. 371 (1986). The contingent interest in the stock of does have value, but its value is independent of that of the stock held by the contingent interest in the stock of the stock held by the contingent interest in the stock of the stock held by the contingent in the stock in the stock

For the foregoing reasons, the holds only 50 percent of the voting power and value of the voting

If you have any further questions or comments, please call me or Jim Sams at FTS 566-6645.

Phyllis E. Marcus

Attachment

Note that in LTR 8038048, we refused to rule on the effect of the right of first refusal on the voting power of the interest holder, determining that the issue was too factual.